THE STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN FUNCTIONAL GRAMMAR: A STUDY AT ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING STUDY PROGRAM IN STATE UNIVERSITY OF PADANG

THESIS

Submitted as a Partial Requirement to Obtain Strata One (S1) Degree



By:

SISKA AMELIA MALDIN

83626/2007

Advisors:

Dr. Kurnia Ningsih, M.A.

Havid Ardi, S.Pd, M. Hum.

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT

FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND ARTS

STATE UNIVERSITY OF PADANG

2012

HALAMAN PERSETUJUAN SKRIPSI

Judul : The Students' Achievement in Functional Grammar: A Study at

English Language Teaching Study Program in State University of

Padang.

Nama : Siska Amelia Maldin

BP/NIM : 2007 / 83626

Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris

Jurusan : Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris

Fakultas : Bahasa dan Seni

Padang, Januari 2012

Disetujui Oleh:

Pembimbing I

Pembimbing II

Dr. Kurnia Ningsih, M.A. NIP. 19540626 198203 2 001

Havid Ardi, S.Pd., M.Hum. NIP. 19790103 200312 1 002

Diketahui Oleh:

Ketua Jurusan

Dr. Kurnia Ningsih, M.A. NIP. 19540626 198203 2 001

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN LULUS UJIAN SKRIPSI

Dinyatakan Lulus Setelah Dipertahankan Didepan Tim Penguji Skripsi Jurusan Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni Universitas Negeri Padang

THE STUDENTS' ACHIEVEMENT IN FUNCTIONAL GRAMMAR: A STUDY AT ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING STUDY PROGRAM IN STATE UNIVERSITY OF PADANG.

Nama : Siska Amelia Maldin

NIM/BP : 83626 / 2007

Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris

Jurusan : Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris

Fakultas : Bahasa dan Seni

Padang, Januari 2012

Tim Penguji,

Nama		ma	Tanda Tangan	
1.	Ketua	: Dr. Kurnia Ningsih, M.A.	1	
2.	Sekretaris	: Havid Ardi, S.Pd., M.Hum.	2. Hough	
3.	Anggota	: Prof. Dr. Jufrizal, M. Hum.	3.	
4.	Anggota	: Dr. Hamzah, M. A., M. M.	4. Hu	
5.	Anggota	: Rusdi Noor Rosa, S.S., M.Hum.	5	

ABSTRAK

Maldin, Siska Amelia. 2012. The Students' Achievement in Functional Grammar: A Study at English Language Teaching Study Program in State University of Padang. Skripsi. Padang: Jurusan Bahasa Inggris. Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni. Universitas Negeri Padang

Penelitian ini dilaksanakan pada mahasiswa program pendidikan Bahasa Inggris Universitas Negeri Padang. Tujuan dari penelitian ini untuk mengetahui sejauh mana achievement atau prestasi mahasiswa dalam memahami teori functional grammar, seperti transitivity, mood, theme, dan clause complex. Sampel dalam penelitian ini adalah 75 orang mahasiswa, semester 5, jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris yang sedang mengambil mata kuliah functional grammar. Desain penelitian ini adalah penelitian deskriptif, dan instrument yang digunakan adalah tes. Tes yang diujikan terbagi ke dalam dua jenis yaitu tes formatif dan sumatif. Masing-masing tes tersebut mewakili teori functional grammar. Data dalam penelitian ini diperoleh dari skor ratarata seluruh siswa dari masing-masing tes yang diujikan. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa skor rata-rata mahasiswa untuk teori transitivity (29.2), mood (31.1), theme (33.2), dan clause complex (16.5). Jadi, total rata-rata skor mahasiswa dalam mata kuliah functional grammar adalah 28.4. Data ini menunjukkan achievement mahasiswa dalam transitivity, mood, theme dan clause complex rendah, sehingga dapat disimpulkan bahwa achievement mahasiswa dalam functional grammar rendah. Oleh sebab itu, diharapkan peningkatan motivasi dan keinginan belajar mahasiswa pada masa yang akan datang.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise is upon to Jesus Christ: The Lord and Shepherd of my life, that under his blessings, mercy and great guidance, I'm able to finish this thesis as the requirement to obtain the Strata One (S1) degree at the English Department, the Faculty of Language and Arts, State University of Padang. The thesis entitled *The Students' Achievement in Functional Grammar: A Study at English Teaching Program in State University of Padang*. In finishing this thesis, I have worked with a number of amazing people who deserved the best honor.

I would like to express my best regard to Dr. Kurnia Ningsih, M.A., as my first advisor who has given me the great deal of time, valuable advice, continuance guidance, support, spirit, and motivation from the beginning to the accomplishment of this thesis. Then, my special gratitude to Havid Ardi, S.Pd, M.Hum, as my second advisor, who has given me encouragement, valuable ideas, suggestion and support in finishing this thesis. It is a great chance to dedicate my sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Jufrizal, M.Hum., Dr.Hamzah, M.A, M.M., Rusdi Noor Rosa, S.Pd., M.Hum., and Refnaldi, S.Pd., M.Litt, as my thesis proposal reviewers and my thesis examiners who have contributed in giving valuable suggestions and critical ideas in improving this thesis.

It is also a big chance to express my regard and appreciation to Dr. Kurnia Ningsih, M.A. and Dra. An. Fauzia R. Syafei, M.A, as the chairman and the secretary of English Department. Then, I would like to express my dearest gratitude to Drs. Amri Isyam, M.Pd, as my academic advisor who has given me motivation and encouragement during my study in this department. Moreover, I thank to all English Department lecturers and staffs who have shared, knowledge, experience and help during my study.

It is a pleasure to address my special gratitude and sincere words to my beloved parents, Papa (Maludin Marbun) and Mama (Maline), Grandfather (M.Silitonga), Aunts (Mariati, and Mardiana), Sister and Brother (Christina and Jeremia) for the everlasting love, affection, cares, guidance, pray, and support in my journey of life. I also like to thank my friends Wiwi, Widya, Iim, Debby, Ayu, Adi and Yeni for the best friendship ever which is given to me.

I would like to thank everybody who I cannot mention one by one. After that, I would also like to express my apology for everyone that I could not mention too.

Finally, I hope that the readers can give a contribution through criticism, and suggestion to develop this thesis.

Padang, January 2012

Siska Amelia Maldin

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRAK	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF DIAGRAMS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF GRAPHICS	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES	viii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Problem	
1.2 Focus of the Research	
1.3 Formulation of the Problem	
1.4 Research Questions	3
1.5 Purpose of the Study	3
1.6 Significance of the Study	4
1.7 Definitions of Key Terms	4
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.1 Students' Achievement	5
2.2 Functional Grammar	7
2.2.1 Transitivity System	9
2.2.1.1 Material Process	10
2.2.1.1.1 Actor and Goal	11
2.2.1.1.2 Goal and Range	11
2.2.1.1.3 Beneficiary	11
2.2.1.1.4 Circumstances	12
2.2.1.2 Mental Processes	13
2.2.1.3 Behavioral Process	13
2.2.1.4 Verbal Process	14
2.2.1.5 Existential Process	15
2.2.1.6 Relational Process	15
2.2.1.6.1 Identifying Process	
2.2.1.6.2 Attributive Process	
2.2. Mood System	
2.2.2.1 Mood Elements	
2.2.2.1.1 Predicator	
2.2.2.1.2 Complement	

2.2.2.1.3 Adjunct	20
2.2.2.1.3.1 Circumstantial Adjunct	20
2.2.2.1.3.2 Modal Adjunct	21
2.2.2.1.3.2.1 Mood Adjunct	21
2.2.2.1.3.2.2 Polarity Adjunct	22
2.2.2.1.3.2.3 Comment Adjunct	22
2.2.2.1.3.2.4 Vocative Adjunct	
2.2.2.1.3.2.5 Textual Adjunct	22
2.2.2.2 Types of Mood	23
2.2.2.2.1 Indicative Mood	23
2.2.2.2.1.1 Declarative Mood	23
2.2.2.2.1.2 Interrogative Mood	23
2.2.2.3 Modality: Modalization and Modulation	24
2.2.2.3.1 Modalization	24
2.2.1.3.2 Modulation	25
2.2.3 Theme System	25
2.2.3.1 Topical Theme	26
2.2.3.2 Interpersonal Theme	27
2.2.3.3 Textual Theme	27
2.2.4 Clause Complex System	28
2.2.4.1 Taxis	28
2.2.4.2 Logio-semantic Type	29
2.2.4.2.1 Expansion	29
2.2.4.2.1.1 Elaboration	29
2.2.4.2.1.2 Extension	31
2.2.4.2.1.3 Enhancement	32
2.2.4.2.2 Projection	33
2.3 Students' Achievement in Functional Grammar	33
2.4 Clauses	34
2.5 Previous Related Studies	35
2.6 Conceptual Framework	36
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Research Designs	
3.2 Population and Sample	
3.3 Research Instrument	
3.4 Technique of Data Collection	
3.5 Technique of Data Analysis	41

CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1 Data Description and Analysis	43
4.1.1 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Transitivity System of the Clauses	11
	44
4.1.2 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Mood System	47
of the Clauses	4/
4.1.3 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Theme System	50
of the Clauses	50
4.1.4 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Clause Complex	
System of the Clauses	
4.1.5 Students' Achievement in Functional Grammar	
4.2 Findings	55
4.2.1 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Transitivity System	
of the Clauses	55
4.2.2 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Mood System of the	
Clauses	58
4.2.3 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Theme System of the	
Clauses	60
4.2.4 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Clause Complex System of	
the Clauses	63
4.2.5 Students' Achievement in Mastering Functional Grammar to	
Analyze	
Clauses	65
4.3 Discussions	66
4.3.1 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Transitivity System	
of the Clauses	66
4.3.2 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Mood System of the	
Clauses	67
4.3.3 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Theme System of the	
Clauses	68
4.3.4 Students' Achievement in Analyzing the Clause Complex System of	
the Clauses	69
4.3.5 Students' Achievement in Mastering Functional Grammar to	
Analyze	
Clauses	70
4.4 Weaknesses of the Research	

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 Conclusions	73
5.2 Suggestion	73
BIBLIOGRAPHY	74
APPENDICES	76

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	: Residue Functions	18
Table 2	: Example of Predicator	19
Table 3	: The Example of Predicator	19
Table 4	: The Example of Predicator	19
Table 5	: Circumstance Adjunct Time	20
Table 6	: Circumstance Adjunct Cause	20
Table 7	: Circumstance Adjunct Matter	20
Table 8	: Circumstance Adjunct Agent	21
Table 9	: Exclamative	23
Table 10	: Non-Exclamative	23
Table 11	: Polar Interrogative	24
Table 12	: Classification of Item Difficulties	39
Table 13	: Classification of Item Difficulties in Formative	40
Table 14	: Average Score of Functional Grammar	42
Table 15	: Average Score of Transitivity in Formative Test	43
Table 16	: Average Score of Transitivity in Summative Test	44
Table 17	: Average Score of Mood in Formative Test	45
Table 18	: Average Score of Mood in Summative Test	48
Table 19	: Average Score of Theme in Formative Test	49
Table 20	: Average Score of Theme in Summative Test	50
Table 21	: Average Score of Clause Complex in Formative Test	51
Table 22	: Average Score of Clause Complex in Summative Test	52

LIST OF DIAGRAMS

Diagram 1: Students' Ability in Mastering Topics of Transitivity 5	55
Diagram 2: Total Score of Students Ability in Transitivity5	57
Diagram 3: Students' Ability in Mastering Topics of Mood	58
Diagram 4: Total Score of Students Ability in Mood6	50
Diagram 5: Students' Ability in Mastering Topics of Theme	51
Diagram 6: Total Score of Students Ability in Theme	52
Diagram 7: Students' Ability in Mastering Topics of Clause Complex 6	53
Diagram 8: Total Score of Students Ability in Clause Complex 6	54
Diagram 9: Students' ability in mastering elements of	
functional grammar6	55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 : Circumstances	13
Figure 2 : Conceptual Framework	36
Figure 3: Reliability Analysis Coefficient Line	39

LIST OF GRAPHICS

3raphic 1 : Student's Abili	in Functional Grammar	. 53
-----------------------------	-----------------------	------

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1 : The reliability analyses of functional grammar	76
Appendix 2 : Item Discrimination	80
Appendix 3 : Transitivity Test (Formative)	82
Appendix 4 : Mood Test (Formative)	87
Appendix 5 : Theme Test (Formative)	102
Appendix 6 : Clause Complex Test (Formative)	107
Appendix 7 : Summative Test Score	113
Appendix 8 : Summative Test of Functional Grammar	114

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the problem

Learning language means learning components and skills. The components include grammar, vocabulary and pronunciation, whereas, the skills are listening, speaking, reading and writing. The two elements above are very important to be learned by students. Each of the part of the previous elements are hooked each other, for instance students are not able to master the four skills precisely if they do not master grammar. Hence, students should master these elements in order to be successful in learning language.

As grammar becomes one of the compulsory components in learning language, English Teaching Program at English Department in State University of Padang has proposed grammar to fulfill the needs of students in mastering English. Before the revision of the curriculum, grammar is taught for students started from 2nd semester to 5th semester. Teaching and learning grammar is focused on formal grammar which is divided in several courses called structure 1 for 2nd semester students, structure 2 for 3rd semester students, structure 3 for 4th semester students, and structure 4 for 5th semester students. Thus, students have already learned grammar for 4 semesters.

The old curriculum is revised into Curriculum 2008 or Competence Based Curriculum (Buku pedoman akademik UNP 2009: 36) in a purpose to improve the competence of students. In this new curriculum, structure 4 was replaced by functional grammar. The purpose of this subject is to enrich students' knowledge in a

chance to choose and make meaning depending upon the context of situation and culture (genre). Hence, functional grammar is put on the fifth semester.

There are several indicators which students should fulfill in order to be successful in learning functional grammar, such as mastering mood, theme, transitivity, and clause-complex. Hence, students are taught for one semester with selected syllabus, material, and teaching technique. At the end of the course, final test was held to measure students' achievement in comprehending the competences above. However, the result of the test from the lecturer of one functional grammar class, which consists of 38 students, shows only three students get score 70. It is assumed that this result is below the expectation. It means, in average, students have low achievement in functional grammar. Therefore, it shows they have problems in learning functional grammar.

The condition above causes a big question why this problem happens. To answer this question, there are many relevant research can be done to solve the problem, such as the material, the needs of students about the course, the motivation of students in taking the course and the achievement of students after taking the course.

1.2 Focus of the Research

This research only focuses on the achievement of students which relates to their performance after mastering the theory of functional grammar. By identifying the students' achievement, it is expected that students' comprehension are measured. The analysis is focused on the achievement of students in understanding the systems of transitivity, mood, theme and clause-complex in analyzing clauses.

1.3 Formulation of the Problem

The formulation of the problem above is

"How far is the achievement of students in functional grammar?

1.5 Research Questions

The inquiry above is guided through these questions below

- 1. How is the students' achievement in the transitivity system?
- 2. How is the student's achievement in the mood system?
- 3. How is the students' achievement in the theme system?
- 4. How is the students' achievement in the clause-complex system?

1.6 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to see how far the achievement of students in mastering the functional grammar theory. In this case, this study is going to identify to what extent the achievement of students in understanding the theory of functional grammar in analyzing clauses. This is identified through viewing their achievement in the four elements below

- 1. identifying transitivity in analyzing clauses
- 2. identifying mood in analyzing clauses
- 3. identifying theme in analyzing clauses
- 4. identifying clause-complex in analyzing clauses

1.7 Significance of the Study

The significant of this research is seen from this aspect. As this subject has been being implemented for a year, this study becomes the first study in the State University of Padang which is carried out to examine the students' achievement in functional grammar. The report in this research is expected to give information about the figure of students' achievement in functional grammar.

1.8 Definitions of Key Terms

1) Students' achievement : students' result of study in a particular

subject.

2) Functional Grammar : a theory of grammar aimed to analyze

phrase, clauses and text both spoken and

written based its framework on the used,

meaning and element of the language