

**AN ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH STUDENTS' ABILITY IN ORDERING TOPICS
AND THEIR SCOPES IN WRITING THE BACKGROUND OF THE PROBLEM
OF THE PAPERS BASED ON THE 'NARROWING PRINCIPLE'**

THESIS

*Submitted as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for Strata One (S1) Degree
at the English Department of FBSS UNP Padang*



**By:
Ihsan Saunir
2003/42081**

**Advisors:
Dr. Hamzah, M.A., M.M.
Sitti Fatimah, S.S., M.Ed.**

**ENGLISH DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF LANGUAGES LITERATURE AND ARTS
STATE UNIVERSITY OF PADANG
2009**

Halaman Persetujuan Skripsi

Judul : An Analysis of English Students' Ability in Ordering Topics and Their Scopes in Writing the Background of the Problem of the Papers Based on the 'Narrowing Principle'

Nama : Ihsan Saunir

NIM/BP : 42081/2003

Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Jurusan : Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris

Fakultas : Bahasa Sastra dan Seni

Padang, Agustus 2009

Disetujui Oleh:

Pembimbing 1	Pembimbing 2
<u>Dr. Hamzah, M.A., M.M.</u> NIP. 19611221 199003 1 001	<u>Sitti Fatimah, S.S., M.Ed.</u> NIP. 19720615 199903 2 002

Diketahui Oleh
Ketua Jurusan

Dr. Kusni, M.Pd.
NIP. 19620909 198803 1 004

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN LULUS UJIAN SKRIPSI

Dinyatakan Lulus setelah Dipertahankan di depan Tim Pengaji
Skripsi Jurusan Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris Fakultas Bahasa Sastra dan Seni
Universitas Negeri Padang

AN ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH STUDENTS' ABILITY IN ORDERING TOPICS AND THEIR SCOPES IN WRITING THE BACKGROUND OF THE PROBLEM OF THE PAPERS BASED ON THE 'NARROWING PRINCIPLE'

Nama : Ihsan Saunir

NIM/BP : 42081/2003

Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris

Jurusan : Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris

Fakultas : Bahasa Sastra dan Seni

Padang, 20 Agustus 2009

Tim Pengaji:

1. Ketua : Dr. Hamzah, M.A., M.M.

2. Sekretaris : Sitti Fatimah, S.S., M.Ed.

3. Anggota : Prof. Mohd. Ansyar, M.A., Ph.D.

4. Anggota : Dra. Yenni Rozimela, M.Ed., Ph.D.

5. Anggota : Rusdi Noor Rosa, S.S., M.Hum.

ABSTRAK

Saunir, Ihsan. 2009. *An Analysis of English Students Ability in Ordering Topics and Their Scopes in Writing the Background of the Problem of the Papers Based on the 'Narrowing Principle'*. Skripsi Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris. Universitas Negeri Padang.

Mahasiawa Jurusan Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris FBSS-UNP Padang yang telah menulis tugas akhir (TA) berupa makalah atau skripsi seharusnya telah dapat dengan baik membuat tulisan ilmiah mereka dengan mengaplikasikan teori-teori dan cara-cara yang baik dalam tulisan mereka. Salah satu dari teori yang yang dipelajari mereka adalah prinsip penulisan yang dimulai dari ide ataupun topik yang lebih umum ke yang khusus. Namun kenyataan menunjukkan mahasiswa itu cenderung mengabaikan prinsip ini.

Untuk mengetahui kebenaran dari kecenderungan mahasiswa itu secara ilmiah, perlu dilakukan sebuah penelitian. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk membuktikan secara ilmiah kecenderungan tersebut terhadap latar belakang masalah draf pertama tugas akhir mahasiswa Jurusan Bahasa dan Sastra Inggris FBSS-UNP Padang secara deskriptif kualitatif.

Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa secara umum kemampuan mahasiswa Jurusan Bahasa Inggris dalam mengembangkan topik dan cakupan-cakupan topik sangat beragam. Berdasarkan prinsip tersebut, kemampuan mahasiswa dalam mengembangkan paragraf lebih baik dibandingkan dengan mengembangkan latar belakang masalah secara keseluruhan.

Oleh karena itu, diharapkan agar pembelajaran menulis lebih dapat diperhatikan untuk peningkatan kemampuan menulis mahasiswa di masa yang akan datang.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillahirabbil'alamin, the greatest gratitude is due to Allah SWT, who has given me knowledge, patience, and strength in completing this thesis in particular and in life in general. *Shalawat and Salam* are also sent to beloved prophet Muhammad SAW, who has lightened and directed human beings to live in the right way.

The sincere thanks are addressed to my advisors toward Dr. Hamzah., M.A, M.M. and Sitti Fatimah, S.S., M.Ed. as the advisors, for their meaningful advice, corrections and guidance during the writing of this thesis. The appreciations also go to Prof. Mohd. Ansyar, M.A., Ph. D., Dra. Yenni Rozimela, M.Ed., Ph.D. and Rusdi Noor Rosa, S.S., M. Hum. for their ideas, comments and suggestions especially given in the seminar of the thesis proposal. Then, my thanks to all lecturers of English Department of FBSS-UNP Padang for the teaching and knowledge given to the writer during the study period.

The writer would also like to express his special gratitude to his beloved parents, “Ayah” and “Ibu” whos love, wisdoms, supports and prayers have made the writer understand more and more about live. The sincere gratitude is also dedicated to his lovely sisters (Meri F. Saunir and Beti. F. Saunir) for their supports and prayers. Remarkable thanks is also due to Angku Harun, Mak Adang, Mak Uncu, Uwo Eli, Tek Da, Uda Hendri, Frizeldino, Yossi Ashera, Randy, Adit dan Agikand all of his big family members.

Finally, the writer would like to thank all his friends Sabrina Kometes, Suciati Poro, S.Pd., Periadi Iyie, Om Gufra Hamidi, Gita Aurora, S. Adm., Iwantonius, Ajo, Ayu Laweh, Havandri Jojo, Dian Sungayang, and Nuning Nazne, S.Pd., Mrs. Riant Febrina, Neva Bobon, Yulia Sari, Vanicha Sherly, Sischa, Meidi Ketua, Inoik, Eci, Amak

Bukittinggi, Da Pet, Uni Dewi, Dede, and all friends in FBSS and in the neighborhood for their friendship.

Padang, 13 Agustus 2009

The Writer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HALAMAN PERSETUJUAN SKRIPSI

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN SKRIPSI

ABSTRAK i

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS iv

LIST OF TABLE vi

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background of the Problem	1
1.2	Identification of the Problem	3
1.3	Limitation of the Problem	4
1.4	Formulation of the Problem	4
1.5	Research Questions	4
1.6	The Purpose of the Research	5
1.7	Significance of the Research	5
1.8	Definition of the Key Terms	6

CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1	The Nature of Writing	7
2.2	The Development of Ideas in Scientific Writing	9
2.3	Topic	17
2.4	Relevant Study	18

2.5 Conceptual Framework	18
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHOD	
3.1 Design of the Research	20
3.2 Population and Sample	20
3.3 Sources of the Data	20
3.4 Technique of Data Collection	21
3.5 Technique of Data Analysis	21
CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH FINDINGS	
4.1 Data Description	23
4.2 Data analysis	24
4.3 Findings	35
4.4 Discussion	37
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1 Conclusions	39
5.2 Suggestions	40
BIBLIOGRAPHY	42
APPENDIX A1-A10	44
APPENDIX B1-B10	54
APPENDIX C	68
APPENDIX D	69

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	The Name of Sources and the Number of Paragraphs	
Table 2	The Problems of the Order of the Backgrounds	23
Table 3	The Number of Acceptable and Unacceptable Paragraphs	27
Table 4	The Main Topics Smaller than Scopes.....	31
Table 5	The Main Topic is Acceptable but the Subpoints are not	33
Table 6	The Main Topic and the Subpoints are not Related	33
Table 7	Main Topic is Acceptable but the Subpoints are not Related	34
		35

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Problem

Writing is one of the four skills in a language which has a complex process and principles that should be mastered by the university students, especially by the English Department students, because many courses in university need the ability of writing. Through writing, they can show their knowledge acquisition in many areas. By mastering writing, they are able to demonstrate their ideas, thoughts and feelings in order to communicate with other people through media of paper.

In the English Department of UNP Padang, Writing is considered very important to support the students' professional and academic success in the future. The importance of writing in this department can be seen in the offerings writing courses. The writing courses consist of Writing 1, Writing 2, Writing 3 and Paper/Thesis Writing. Each of the courses has its own target of competence. Writing 1 course is aimed training the students mainly to develop a paragraph. Then Writing 2 is aimed at training or making the students able to develop a short academic essay. In addition, Writing 3 is aimed at training the students to write a short paper with some additional competence such as reading a graph and quoting references. Meanwhile, the paper/thesis writing course is aimed at helping the students to make the final paper or thesis at the end of their study in the university.

To be a master in writing, a student usually takes a long time and process in the real practice. However, there are several problems commonly faced by the students in

writing. A problem is concerning with the lack of ideas. They often get stucked on short list of ideas that they do not know what to write. It still happens even when the teacher provides them with a list of topics to choose to write. Quite often, many students cannot elaborate the ideas they have. They keep moving around the same spot with no valuable progress. Another problem which is still found in the students' writings or essays, according to a Writing lecturer of UNP Padang, is about the order of the topics in the essay themselves. They often do not care about the order of the topics of each paragraph from an essay such as in a paper and a thesis.

Other problems in the students' writings found by the lecturer are about the coherence and the cohesion of the writing either in the forms of paragraphs or the essays. That means that the paragraphs or essays are not about the same topic and the flows of ideas is not smooth. The problems mentioned above, are probably caused by lack of understanding and practice of writing.

These problems make the students find it difficult to write the final project, namely a paper or a thesis when they are in the last semester of that program. Consequently, this condition becomes one of the causes making the students have to extend the study period in the English Department.

In a larger scope of writing such as a paper and a thesis, the students of the English department tend not to be aware of the order of topics as a subject in the paper or thesis, as informed by a senior writing lecturer of the department, whereas for a good essay, it is normally written with the narrowing principle, the writer should consider this principle seriously.

To support this practice, one can learn from the papers written by professional writers or academicians who keep ordering the topics and ideas from the larger scope to the smaller scope of topics such as the papers written by Prof. Mohd. Ansyar, Ph.D., Prof. Soenjono Djardjowijojo, Dra. Hermawati Syarif, M.Hum. (now Prof. Dr.), and Dr. Mukhaiyar M.Pd. (now Prof.) (paper from the 41th Teflin Seminar in Padang, 1993). From the papers, it can be inferred that the scope of topics were ordered in the way as mentioned above and this also means that academic writings tend to follow the narrowing principle.

Based on the explanation above, this research is aimed at identifying the students' ability in ordering the topics and their scopes in the papers, especially in the backgrounds of the problem. Whereas the topic of an essay or paragraph determines the good order of an essays or paragraph.

1.2 Identification of the Problem

As mentioned above, in writing, there are many problems faced by the students, namely lack of ideas, inappropriate orders of topics, coherence and cohesion. Students' ideas are very important to have before beginning to write but, unfortunately, students often lack of ideas when they have to write about something. They may spend a long time to think of an idea to write about. Actually, if they are aware and sensitive with what they experience, see and hear in their life, they can get the idea easily.

Another problem that is also serious for a student is making appropriate order of the topics in writing such as a paragraph or an essay/paper. A writing that does not consider the appropriate order of topics to be developed will sound 'to go here and there'.

A good order of topics, understood from several professional writers as mentioned in the background above, is the one that follows the narrowing principle in writing.

The other common problems are cohesion and coherence of a writing. The cohesion is the smoothness of thought or idea flows in writing. Usually, a writing is made cohesive with the use of transition words or phrases. The coherence is that the condition of the writing must be about the same topic discussed in the writing.

1.3 Limitation of the Problem

Based on the identification of the problem above, the problem of this research is limited to the study of the English education students' ability in ordering the topics in writing the backgrounds of the problem in the first written draft of the papers proposed in the January-June 2009 semester, based on the narrowing principle. The main reason for taking the first written draft a paper is that they can show the basic or original ability of the students.

1.4 Formulation of the Problem

The problem of this research was formulated as follows: "How is the ability of the students of the English Education Program of FBSS-UNP in ordering the topics of the first written drafts in the backgrounds of the problem of papers?"

1.5 Research Questions

To help conduct the research easily, the formulation of the problem above was elaborated into the research questions below:

- a. How is the students' ability in ordering the topics of the backgrounds of the problems in writing the first draft papers?
- b. How is the students' ability in ordering the topic scopes in the paragraphs of the backgrounds of the problem of the first draft papers?
- c. What problems are found in the ordering of the topics in the backgrounds of the problem and the topic scopes in the paragraphs of the backgrounds?

1.6 The Purposes of the Research

The purpose of this research is to identify the English Education students' ability in ordering the topics in the backgrounds of the problem of first written drafts of the paper proposals. The other purpose is to identify the problems made by the students in ordering the topics and the topic scopes in the first written drafts of the paper proposals.

1.7 Significance of the Problem

This research about the students' ability in ordering the topics and the topic scopes in the background of the problem of the first written drafts of the papers is very worth doing because writing, especially writing papers, is a compulsory subject in the English Department. Through this research, the students' ability about writing the background can be identified and used for academic decisions in the department.

Beside that, this research is also expected to give inspirations to English lecturers and other students to do further research about students' ability in other language skills, especially those of the English Department students of UNP Padang to get more information about the students' ability for the academic improvement of the department.

1.8 Definition of the Key Terms

It is important to put a clear definition of the key terms used in this study to avoid the ambiguous meaning or misunderstanding on some conceptual terms that might occur later on. The following definitions will be useful:

Students' writing ability : The ability of the Education English students in writing the first drafts of the backgrounds of the problem

Backgrounds of the problem : The backgrounds of the problem found in the first drafts of the paper proposals

Narrowing principle : The principle of ordering the topics and topic scopes from general to specific of the backgrounds of the problem and the paragraphs of the backgrounds.

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusion

In the background of this thesis, it was mentioned that writing a scientific writing is not easy for the English students of UNP Padang even though they have taken several writing classes in the department. This has been the main reason of this research to identify the students' ability in writing the background of the problem in the paper proposals. From the analysis, it was found that the students' ability is vary from the acceptable to the unacceptable orders of topic and topic scopes based on the narrowing principle. For the unacceptable orders, the data show that the students had similar problems in writing both the backgrounds and the paragraphs on the backgrounds based on the narrowing principle.

The problems are (1) the main topic in the background or the main topic in the paragraph is smaller than the other subpoints in both the background and the paragraph, (2) a subpoint or subpoints of both the background an the paragraph are smaller in scope than the other subpoints, (3) a subpoint or subpoints are not about the same thing or a part of the main topic of the background or the paragraph, and (4) a subpoint or subpoints are not about the same thing or a part of the background or the paragraph. In this research, the data also show that writing a background is more difficult for the students than writing a paragraph based on the narrowing principle.

Based on the purpose of this research, it was found that the ability of English Department students of FBSS-UNP Padang in ordering the topics in the background of the problem was very bad or failed in ordering the topics in the background of the

problem. In the other hand, the ability of English Department students in ordering the topic scopes in the paragraphs of the background of the problem of the first draft paper was *good*. Like discussed in the discussion above, it seems that the ability in developing or ordering a topic into a paragraph does not guarantee the ability in ordering topics into a background of the problem of a paper.

5.2 Suggestions

Based on the research findings and the conclusions of the research above, some suggestions are drawn as follows:

1. The English Department students need to develop their knowledge about the ordering the topic especially in writing a scientific writing.

Some ways are drawn as follows:

- a. They should more pay attention when their lecturers explained their lesson in writing
- b. They should read other books that are relevant with writing especially in ordering the topic.
- c. They should keep practice a lot not only in the classroom but also outside of the classroom.

2. The English Department lecturers are suggested to pay attention to the students' writing.

Some ways are drawn ass follows:

- a. They should give more assignment to their students in order they will practice in writing.

- b. They should analyze students' writing by discuss it after after the students finish in writing.
3. In addition to that, for a scientific purpose, it is also suggested that a further research be conducted about other aspects of a paper in relation to the application of the narrowing principle and other aspects in relation to the writing of a background.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Admadilaga, Didi. 1994. *Buku pintar: Penulisan Skripsi, Thesis, Disertasi*(Buku Pertama). Bandung: CV. Pionir Jaya

Ali, Muhamad. 1987. *Pengantar Penelitian Pendidikan: Prosedur dan Strategi*. Bandung: Bumi Angkasa

Aristotle. 2008. *Topic*. <http://ardictionary.com/Topic/5014>. Exocrew. Retrieved on Saturday, August 22nd 2009.

Boardman and Frydenberg. 2002. *Writing to Communicate Paragraphs and Essays*. New York: Pearson Education, Inc

Brown, Gillian dan George Yule. 1989. *Discourse Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Byrne, Don. 1997. *Teaching Writing Skills*. Singapore: Longman Group LTD.

Claudia, Keh. 1990. A Design For A Process Approach Writing Course. *English Teaching Forum*. Vol XXVIII. No 2 P. P 10-12.

Crimmon, Mc. 1973. *Writing with Purpose*. Harper Collins Publisher.

Gaffari, Hanifa. 2008."Senior High School Students' Ability in Writing a Narrative Text." *Unpublished thesis*. FBSS-UNP Padang

Gay, L. R. 1987. *Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Application*. Columbus, Ohio: Merill Publishing Company.

Guth. 1969. *Words and Ideas*. Belmont, California: Wads Worth Publisher Company, Inc.

Koentjoroningrat, 1989. *Metode-Metode Penelitian Masyarakat*. Jakarta: Percetakan PT. Gramedia

Langan, John.1985. *College Writing Skills with Readings*. New York: Mc Grow-Hill Company.

Langan, John.2005. *College Writing Skills*. New York: Mc Grow-Hill Company.

Maksan, Marjusman. 1993. *Pedoman Menyusun Karya Tulis Ilmiah*. Padang: Fakultas Pendidikan Bahasa dan Seni IKIP Padang

McCall, John. 1966. *How to Write Themes and Essays*. Monarch Press

McWorther, Kathleen T.1986. *Guide to College Reading*. Boston: Little Brown and Company

Moleong, Lexy J. 1994. *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya

Nasution, S. 1995. *Metode Research*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara

Oshima, Alice, Ann Hogue. 1993. *Writing Academic English, A Writing and Sentence Structure Workbook for International Students*. California: Addison Wesley

Oshima, Alice, Ann Hogue. 2006. *Writing Academic English*. Person Education

Putra, Handry Perdana. 2006. The Quality of Expository Paragraphs Written by

Reid, Joy M.1994. *The Process of Paragraph Writing*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall Regents.

Reid, Joy M.. 2000. *The Process of Composition*. New York: Addison Wesley, Inc

Rosen and Behrens. 1997. *Paragraph Development*. <http://www.Buowl.boun.edu.tr/student/paragraph/html>. Retrieved on Wednesday, March 13th 2009

Soemanto (2001) *Metode penelitian Sosial Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset

Suryabrata, Sumadi. 1985. *Metodolgi Penelitian*. Jakarta: CV. Rajawali

Teitelbaum, Harry. 1975. *How to Write Thesis 'a Guide to the Research Paper*. Monarch Press

Wahab, Abdul dan Lies Amin. 1999. *Menulis Karya Ilmiah*. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.

Wyrick, Jean.1987. *Steps to Writing Well: A Concise Guide to Composition*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

Yousda, Ine I. Amirman dan Zainal Arifin. 1993. *Penelitian dan Statistik Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.

Yulizar. 2009. An Analysis of Second Year Students' Ability of Non Regular Class Program in Developing a Topic Sentence into a Good Paragraph of The English Department of FBSS The State University of Padang. *Unpublished Thesis*. FBSS-UNP.