

**THE CONTRIBUTION OF STUDENT'S VOCABULARY AND LEARNING
STRATEGIES TOWARD THEIR READING COMPREHENSION**

THESIS



HARMUJA
NIM 51450

*Submitted in Partial fulfillment of the Requirements to Obtain
a Degree of Magister Pendidikan (M. Pd)*

ENGLISH EDUCATION SECTION
LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM
GRADUATE PROGRAM
STATE UNIVERSITY OF PADANG
2011

Abstrak

Harmuja, 2010. Kontribusi Kosakata dan Cara Belajar siswa terhadap Membaca dengan pemahaman. Tesis Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Padang.

Penguasaan Kosakata (Vocabulary) pada siswa SMP Negeri 1 Padang masih tergolong rendah, yakni di bawah 500 suku kata. Padahal untuk bisa membaca dengan pemahaman isi teks bacaan dan menjawab pertanyaan sesuai isi bacaan siswa harus menguasai setidaknya 1000 kosakata.

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengungkapkan Kontribusi Kosakata dan Strategi siswa dalam belajar untuk memahami isi bacaan. Penelitian ini adalah penelitian korelasi yang dilaksanakan di SMP Negeri 1 Padang.

Populasi penelitian sebanyak 336 siswa kelas II (8) yang diijinkan pihak sekolah SMP N1, karena siswa kelas 3 sedang persiapan menghadapi ujian semester. Sedangkan sample yang dipilih sebanyak 2 lokal (84) siswa. Teknik pengumpulan data memakai simple random sampling. Sedangkan untuk mengetahui strategi belajar siswa menggunakan angket skala Likert.

Pengujian hipotesis diuji dengan korelasi dan regresi statistik. Temuan, terdapat kontribusi penguasaan kosakata 9,6% terhadap membaca dengan pemahaman, dan strategi belajar siswa berkontribusi 9,0 % terhadap membaca dengan pemahaman, sedangkan kedua variabel tersebut secara bersama-sama atau terpisah berkontribusi 16,4% terhadap membaca dengan pemahaman.

Kesimpulan dan saran: semakin baik penguasaan kosakata dan cara belajar siswa, akan semakin baik pula kemampuan membaca dengan pemahaman. Untuk peningkatan mutu belajar kedepan, siswa perlu meningkatkan penguasaan kosakata dan peningkatan cara belajar siswa.

Abstract

Harmuja, 2010. The Contribution of Students' Vocabulary and Learning Strategies toward their Reading Comprehension. State university of Padang.

The Vocabulary mastery of SMP 1 students is lower than 500 words. In fact, to read the sentence, phrase or paragraph with understanding the students should have mastery at least 1000 of words.

The purpose of the research is to prove the contribution of Vocabulary mastery and Learning strategies toward their Reading comprehension in junior high school number 1 of Padang.

The population of the research is 336 students of the second year of junior high school number 1 of Padang. The sample of the population is 84 students. The data collecting techniques used simple random sampling.

The research result proved three hypotheses. First: vocabulary mastery contribute 9, 6% to reading comprehension, second: learning strategies contribute 9,0%. To reading comprehension, third: Vocabulary and learning strategies together and partially contribute 16, 4% to reading comprehension.

Based on the finding above, it can be concluded that Vocabulary mastery and Learning strategies can increase Reading comprehension.

Acknowledgement

The great thank to Allah, because of his permission, give the writer opportunity , health, the ability of awareness good thinking, so the writer can finish completely his data calculating from result seminar and his thesis editing.

Firstly, his great thank to Prof. Dr. H. Mukhaiyar, Prof . Drs.H Zainil, MA.,Ph.D. as his advisors, Dr. Desmawati Radjab, Prof. Drs. Rusdi M.A.,Ph.D., and Prof Dr. Gusril, M.Pd. as his examiner team who patiently paid him a great deal of time, continuous guidance and valuable advise in completing his thesis.

His sincerely also goes to all lecturer, the director, academic staff, library room at state University of Padang who had educated him precious thing in any fields.

Then, the writer expresses his sincerest gratitude to his beloved parents, his wife and children who have encouraged and support the writer during his study.

Padang, March 2010

The Researcher

Persetujuan Akhir Tesis

Nama Mahasiswa : Harmuja

Nim : 62406

Nama	Tanda tangan	Tanggal
<u>Prof. Drs. H. Zainil, M.A.,Phd</u> Pembimbing I		
<u>Prof. Dr. H. Mukhaiyar, M.pd.</u> Pembimbing II		

Direktur Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Padang	Ketua Program Studi/Konsentrasi
<u>Prof. Dr. H. Mukhaiyar, M.p</u> NIP.	<u>Dr. Desmawati Radjab, M.pd.</u> NIP.

Persetujuan Komisi
Ujian Tesis Magister Kependidikan

No	Nama	Tanda Tangan
1.	Prof.Drs.H. Zainil,M.A.,Ph.D.
2.	Prof.Dr. H. Mukhaiyar, M.Pd.
3.	Prof. Drs. Rusdi, M.A., Ph.D
4.	Prof.Dr. Gusril, M.pd.
5	Dr. Desmawati Radjab, M.Pd.

Mahasiswa

Nama : Harmuja
NIM : 62406
Tanggal Ujian :

SURAT PERNYATAAN

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa:

1. Karya tulis saya, tesis, dengan judul “The Contribution of Students’ Vocabulary and Learning Strategies toward their Reading Comprehension” adalah asli dan belum pernah diajukan untuk mendapatkan gelar akademik baik di Universitas Negeri Padang maupun di perguruan tinggi lainnya.
2. Karya tulis ini murni gagasan, penilaian dan rumusan saya sendiri, tanpa bantuan tidak sah dari pihak lain, kecuali arahan Tim Pembimbing/Tim Penguji.
3. Di dalam karya tulis ini tidak terdapat hasil karya atau pendapat yang telah ditulis atau dipublikasikan orang lain, kecuali dikutip pendapat secara tertulis dengan jelas dan dicantumkan sebagai acuan di dalam naskah saya dengan disebutkan nama pengarangnya dan dicantumkan pada daftar pustaka.
4. Pernyataan ini saya buat dengan sesungguhnya, dan apabila dikemudian hari terdapat penyimpangan dan ketidakbenaran pernyataan ini, saya bersedia menerima sanksi akademik berupa pencabutan gelar yang telah saya peroleh karena karya tulis ini, serta sanksi lainnya sesuai dengan norma dan ketentuan hukum yang berlaku.

Padang, Maret 2010
Saya yang menyatakan

Harmuja
NIM. 10882

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRAK	i
ABSTRACT	ii
PERSETUJUAN AKHIR.....	iii
PERSETUJUAN KOMISI PEMBIMBING.....	iv
SURAT PERNYATAAN.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi

CHAPTER	I:	INTRODUCTION	
		A. Background of the Problem	1
		B. Identification of the Problem.....	3
		C. Limitation of the Problem.....	4
		D. Formulation of the Problem.....	4
		E. Purpose of the Research.....	4
		F. Significance of the Research.....	5
		G. Definition of Key Terms.....	5
CHAPTER	II:	REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE	
		A. Review of the Related Theories.....	6
		1. Vocabulary Mastery.....	6
		2. Language Learning Strategies.....	10
		3. Reading Comprehension.....	16
		B. Review of Related Findings.....	18
		C. Statement of the Hypothesis.....	23
		D. Conceptual Framework.....	18

CHAPTER	III:	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
		A. Research Design.....	24
		B. Population and Sample.....	24
		1. Population.....	24
		2. Sample.....	25
		C. Variable and Data.....	26
		D. Instrumentations.....	24
		E. Tryout of the Instrument.....	28
		F. Technique of Data Collection.....	36
		G. Testing Requirement for Analysis.....	26
		H. Technique of Data Analysis.....	28
			30
CHAPTER	IV:	FINDINGS AND DISCUSION	
		A. Description of the Data.....	38
		1. Vocabulary Mastery.....	39
		2. Learning Strategies Variable.....	41
		3. Reading Comprehension Variable.....	42
		B. Prerequisite Analysis.....	44
		C. Hypothesis Testing.....	49
		D. Discussion.....	58
		E. Limitation of the Study.....	61
CHAPTER	V:	CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, AND SUGGESTION	
		A. Conclusion.....	62
		B. Implication.....	63
		C. Suggestion.....	63
		BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	65

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Name of Table	Page
3.1	The population of the Research.....	25
3.2	The Distribution of sample.....	26
3.3	Research Questions indicators	27
3.4	The Validity Result of Instrument Tryout.....	34
3.5	The Result of instrument Reliability.....	35
4.1	Descriptive Statistical Frequency.....	38
4.2	Frequency Data Distribution of Vocabulary Mastery Variable.....	39
4.3	The students' core on Variable Category.....	40
4.4	Data distribution of Learning Strategy variable.....	41
4.5	The score of students on category on learning strategy.....	42
4.6	Frequency data distribution of Reading comprehension.....	43
4.7	The student's score on Reading Comprehension Category.....	44
4.8	The summary of Normality testing.....	45
4.9	Linearity Vocabulary mastery toward reading comprehension.....	46
4.10	ANOVA Table.....	47
4.11	Homogeneity test of variance.....	48
4.12	Model summary of correlation Analysis on.....	50
4.13	Anova table to calculate F testing for X1 to Y.....	50
4.14	Model summary of Correlation Analysis X2 to Y.....	52
4.15	Regression coefficient between learning strategies X2 and Y.....	53
4.16	Anova table calculate for F testing X2 and Y.....	53
4.17	Model summary of correlation coefficient X1,X2 and Y.....	55
4.18	Regression coefficient X1,X2 to Y.....	56
4.19	Effective contribution X1,X2 to Y.....	57
4.20	Correlation between Variable X1 dan X2	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Table	Name of Figure	Page
2.1	The Model of Metacognitive Process.....	11
2.2	Interrelationship between Direct and Indirect Strategies.....	11
2.2	Learning Strategies.....	12
2.4	Memory Strategies.....	13
2.5	Semantic Mapping	14
4.1	Descriptive Statistics Frequency.....	38
4.2	The Histogram of Students' Score (X1).....	40
4.5	The Histogram of Students, Score (X2).....	42
4.7	The Histogram of Students' Score (Y).....	44
4.13	Linear Regression Equation Line (X1).....	51
4.16	Linear Regression Equation Line (X2).....	54
4.19	Multiple Linear Regression Equation Line	57

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix 1 Descriptive Statistics	68
2 Prerequisite Analysis	74
3 Linearity Test	76
4 Reading Comprehension (Y) toward Learning Strategies (X2)	77
5 Homogeneity Test	78
6 Collinearity Test	79
Hypothesis One	80
7 Hypothesis Two	81
8 Hypothesis Three	82
9 Vocabulary Test	84
10 Reading Achievement Indicator	88
11 Reading Comprehension Test	91
12 Questionnaire for English Learning Strategies	94
13 Izin Penelitian	99
14 Surat Keterangan	100
15 Curriculum Vitae	101

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the Problem

Vocabulary is knowledge of words and word meanings. However, vocabulary is more complex than this definition. First, words come in two forms: oral and print. Oral vocabulary includes those words that we recognize and use in listening and speaking. Print vocabulary includes those words that we recognize and use in reading and writing. Second, word knowledge also comes in two forms, receptive and productive. Receptive vocabulary includes words that we recognize when we hear or see them. Productive vocabulary includes words that we use when we speak or write. Receptive vocabulary is typically larger than productive vocabulary, and may include many words to which we assign some meaning, even if we don't know their full definitions and connotations – or ever use them ourselves as we speak and write.

From the observation where the writer did the research, in SMP Negeri 1 Padang, School that have standard and good performance in Padang Town , in fact still many students finds difficulty to comprehend the text and answer question related to the text given, many students have difficulty to speak and write. It can be seen from the result of pretest. 30 % of 42 students are in bad condition 32 % rather good and 28 % is very bad. It seems even though in junior high school that had got predicate high standard quality, not automatically all the

students could answer the question properly.

Then, based on the School-Based Curriculum of 2006 for standard competence of English, states that government or Ministry of National Education (MONE) Depdiknas justified the students are expected to be able to understand and comprehend the meaning in transactional/ interpersonal dialogue and sustained dialogue in daily life in context and also students are hoped to be able to access popular knowledge, while teaching material based on genres, such as; recount, narrative, procedure, spoof, report and news item text. It means that the standard competences stated in curriculum as mentioned above is not yet achieved.

Because of such phenomena the researcher very interested, and this problem need to be more investigated. At least how much vocabulary minimum approximately students have to master for reading fluently, and what strategy students do those make some of them get bad mark in pretest that have been conducting by the researcher.

Furthermore, to reach the educational goals mentioned in 2006 Curriculum (KTSP 2006) especially for junior high school students are demanded to master or develop their vocabulary skills. In order to understand English texts, students have to learn the element of vocabulary text available cover affixes, suffixes, roots, context clues, phrases, clause sentence connections, synonyms, antonyms and other variation of reading texts.

Some difficulties from the observation the researcher can see the reasons why these individual have weak vocabularies are often complex and overlapping, but

here are a few of common reason for weak vocabularies. The person 1) poor memory, 2) forgetting the teacher's instructions, 3) not listen to directions given, 4) emotion instability, due to shyness, quick temper, stubbornness, poor concentration, 5) poor use of language; speaks too fast, run words together; speak in one or two words in fragmentary sentences, 6)poor group participation ; passive in integrative, 7) lack of interest in reading ; uninterested when teacher reads or tell stories.

B. Identification of the Problem

Some difficulties from the observation the researcher can see the reasons why these individual have weak vocabularies are often complex and overlapping, but here are a few of common reason for weak vocabularies. The person 1) poor memory, 2) forget instructions from the teacher, 3) Does not listen when directions are given, 4) emotion instability, maybe shyness, quick temper, stubbornness, poor concentration, 5) poor use of language; speaks too fast, run words together; speak in one or two words in fragmentary sentences, 6) poor group participation; passive in integrative, 7) lack of interest in reading and uninteresting stories told by the teacher.

Based on the background of the problem illustrated above, it can be identified that there are some problems that need to solve immediately. First, factors that make students have difficult to comprehend the texts, how to develop vocabulary skill, and what strategies students have use to increase their vocabulary mastery and reading comprehension.

C. Limitation of the Problem

English teaching cover four skills, namely reading, listening, speaking and writing. The four skills are supported by learning of language elements. There are vocabulary, structure, pronunciation, and spelling. There for vocabulary. The researcher limits the problem on the vocabulary mastery and strategy use by students in developing students' language skills in reading, listening, speaking and writing.

D. Formulation of the Problem

Based on limitation of the problem above, the writer formulates the problem into the following questions:

- 1) How much is the contribution of vocabulary mastery toward reading comprehension?
- 2) How much is the contribution of learning strategies toward reading comprehension?
- 3) How much is the contribution of vocabulary mastery and learning strategies collectively toward reading comprehension?

E. Purpose of the Research

This research was conducted to find out;

1. The contribution of Vocabulary Mastery toward reading comprehension at second year students of SMPN 1 Padang.
2. The contribution of Learning Strategies toward reading comprehension at

second year students of SMPN 1 Padang.

3. The contribution of both Vocabulary Mastery and Learning Strategies toward reading comprehension at second year students of SMPN 1 Padang.

F. Significance of the Research

The result of the research is expected to give contribution to:

1. Students, to help them improve their vocabulary mastery
2. Teachers, as one alternative to increase students' ability in Reading comprehension through increase Vocabulary mastery and Learning strategies.
3. Other researcher, as one of the useful sources for conducting future research.

F. Definition of the Key Terms

In order to avoid misinterpretation in reading this thesis, the researcher explains some key terms used:

1. Vocabulary mastery is a core component of acquiring language, whether language is first, second or foreign.
2. Learning strategies are specific action taken by students to make learning is more easy and more effective.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, AND SUGGESTION

A. Conclusion

Based on the data analysis on chapter IV, it can be concluded that;

1. There is positive and significant contribution of Vocabulary to the reading comprehension in English text for junior high school student in SMP1 Padang at 2007/2008 academic year. The effective contribution of Vocabulary is 9.6%.
2. There is positive and significant contribution of mastery vocabulary to reading comprehension in English text for junior high school student in SMP 1 Padang at 2007/2008 academic year. The effective contribution of learning strategies is 9,0.%
3. There is positive and significant contribution both of vocabulary mastery and learning strategies altogether for reading comprehension in English text for senior high school student in SMPN1 Padang at 2007/2008 academic year. These variables contribute 16.4% to the reading comprehension in English text.

The contribution of Reading Strategies and vocabulary mastery of the second year Senior High School students in Padang at the year of 2007/2008 are low. These two variables only contribute 16.4% respectively to the reading comprehension in English text if these variables are not influenced by another factor.

B. Implication

Based on the result of the research conducted at the second year students of SMPN 1 Padang at the academic year of 2007/2008 showed several implications deal with English skill especially in Vocabulary Mastery.

1. Improving students' Vocabulary Mastery. It can be done by:
 - a. By assigning students to memorize and use five to ten high-frequency words a day.
 - b. By learning the roots of unfamiliar words. For example; *transport*. The word *trans* means *move*, and *port* means *station*. Thus, the meaning of the word *transport* is travelling and outgoing.
2. Improving students' Learning Strategies. In this case students can do the following strategies;
 - a. Planning
They have to make an arrangement of learning at appropriate time like in the early morning. At the time, they have conducive moment to learn in full concentration for short-term planning.
 - b. Problem Solving
They have to understand what strategies to tackle for solving their problems.
 - c. Evaluating
They can evaluate what they have got and what have not.
 - d. Monitoring
Here the students can monitor and control the learning process.

C. Suggestion

Since reading strategies and vocabulary mastery are two important factors that can increase student's ability in understanding English text, the writer proposes some suggestion;

1. It is suggested to English teachers to teach many strategies and vocabulary development for the students by giving many exercises deal with English texts according to their level of difficulties.
2. Since the time the students used to study English is not enough. It is suggested to the students to study English hard all day long from the course or through many books available.
3. It is suggested to the following researchers to explore other factors that can affect reading comprehension in English text. In a brief description, it can be stated that can contribute reading comprehension both external and internal factors.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Brown, H Douglas. 2002. *Strategies for Success, A Practical Guide to Learning English*. USA : Longman, Inc.
- Celce-Murcia, Marianne. 2001. *Teaching English as a Second Foreign Language*. United State of America : Thomson Learning Inc.
- Chamot Uhl Anna et al. 1999. *The Learning Strategies Handbook*. New York : Longman Inc
- Gay, LR and Peter Airasian. 2002. *Educational Research : Competencies for Analysis and Application*. Von Hoffman Press Inc.
- Harris, Albert J. 1980. *How to Increase Reading ability: A Guide to Developmental and Remedial Methods*. New York: David McKay Company, Inc
- Keen, Dennis. 2009. *Developing Vocabulary Skills*. New York : Harper and Row Publishers, Inc.
- McCarty, Michael & O'Dell Felicity. 2000. *Vocabulary in Use Upper Intermediate*. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press.
- Musthafa, Bachrudin and Wahyu Sundayana. 2003. *Communicative English in Context Competence-Based for SLTP*. Bandung : Grafindo Media Pratama.
- Oshima, Alice and Ann Hogue. 2000. *Writing Academic English*. London: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Rebecca, L. 1990. *Language Learning Strategies*. Oxford : Oxford University Press.
- Richards, Jack C and Renandya Willy A. 2002. *Methodology in Language Teaching*. New York : Cambridge University Press.
- Siswandari, 2009. *Statistika Computer-Based*. Surakarta : Universitas 11 Maret Press.
- Usman, Husaini and R.Purnomo Setiady Akbar. 2000. *Pengantar Statistika*. Jakarta : Bumi Aksara.
- Wahyono, Teguh. 2009. *25 Model Analisis Statistik dengan SPSS 17*. Jakarta : Gramedia Press.

Zainil, 2003. *Reading Theories*. Padang : Universitas Negeri Padang Press.

_____. *Language Learning Strategies*. Padang : State University of Padang.